Ch. 7 Control systems design by the Root-Locus Method

Performance: System compensation: gain adjustments; 1st step increase steady state accuracy poor stability, instability redesign ; modifying the structure additional devices or components <- compensator series compensation; feedback (parallel) compensation; choice; depends on the nature of the signal device available economic considerations Depends on phase angle lead network(compensator) lag network(compensator)

lead-lag network(compensator); lag in low frequency , lead in high frequency

7.2 Preliminary design consideration

7.1 Introduction

Effect of adding poles to the open loop T.F. pulling the root locus to the right

Effect of the addition of zeros to the open loop T.F. pulling the root locus to the left

7.3 Lead compensation

speeds up the response increase the stability

using OP amp RC circuit Spring-dashpot

$$\frac{E_o(s)}{E_i(s)} = \frac{R_2 R_4}{R_1 R_3} \frac{R_1 C_1 s + 1}{R_2 C_2 s + 1} = \frac{R_4 C_1}{R_3 C_2} \frac{s + \frac{1}{R_1 C_1}}{s + \frac{1}{R_2 C_2}}$$
$$= K_c \alpha \frac{Ts + 1}{\alpha Ts + 1} = K_c \frac{s + \frac{1}{T}}{s + \frac{1}{\alpha T}}$$

where,
$$T = R_1 C_1$$
, $\alpha T = R_2 C_2$, $K_c = \frac{R_4 C_1}{R_3 C_2}$

DC gain; $K_c \alpha = \frac{R_2 R_4}{R_1 R_3}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{if} \ R_1C_1>R_2C_2 \ \mbox{or} \ \alpha<1 \ \ \mbox{; lead network} \\ \mbox{if} \ R_1C_1< R_2C_2 \ \ \ \ \mbox{; lag network} \end{array}$

* Lead compensation technique based on the root=locus approach

- (1) determine the desired location for the dominant closed loop poles
- (2) draw root locusascertain whether gain adjustment fulfillsif not, how much angle needs
- (3) $G_{c}\,$; determine a, T from needs angle, K_{c} from open loop gain
- (4) a ; as large as possible (larger velocity constant $\,K_{_{V}}\,)$
- (5) determine the open loop gain from the magnitude cond.

(Ex. 7-1)

$$G(s) = \frac{4}{s(s+2)}$$
$$\frac{C(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{4}{s^2 + 2s + 4} = \frac{4}{(s+1+j\sqrt{3})(s+1-j\sqrt{3})}$$

damping ratio or closed loop; 0.5 closed loop $\omega_n = 2$ rad/sec. $K_v = 2 \sec^{-1}$

Desired closed loop poles; $s = -2 \pm j 2 \sqrt{3}$

Procedure;

Total sum of the angles = $\pm 180^{\circ}(2k+1)$

$$G_c(s)G(s) = \left(K_c \frac{s + \frac{1}{T}}{s + \frac{1}{\alpha T}} \right) G(s), \quad (0 < \alpha < 1)$$

Angle of the present system at the desired poles

$$\angle \frac{4}{s(s+2)} \bigg|_{s=-2+j2\sqrt{3}} = -210^{\circ}$$

the lead compensator must add $\phi = 30^{\circ}$ => zeros at s =-2.9, poles at s=-5.4

$$T = \frac{1}{2.9} = 0.345, \quad \alpha T = \frac{1}{5.4} = 0.185$$

=>

$$G_{c}(s)G(s) = \left(K_{c}\frac{s+2.9}{s+5.4}\right)\frac{4}{s(s+2)}$$

Magnitude cond.

$$\left| \frac{K(s+2.9)}{s(s+2)(s+5.4)} \right|_{s=-2+j_2\sqrt{3}} = 1$$

=> K= 18.7 => $K_c = 18.7/4 = 4.68$

$$\Rightarrow G_c(s) = 2.51 \frac{0.345s + 1}{0.185s + 1} = 4.68 \frac{s + 2.9}{s + 5.4}$$

determine $R_1, C_1, R_2, C_2, R_3, R_4$

7.4 Lag compensation

When

system shows satisfactory transient response unsatisfactory steady state characteristics

So, dominant poles should not be changed significantly but open loop gain should be increased

Angle added by lag network should be $< 5^{\circ}$ (small) Place pole and zero of $G_c(s)$ closely, and near origin of s-plane;

Original system ; $K_v = \lim_{s \to 0} s G(s)$ When lag compensator added;

$$\widehat{K_v} = \lim_{s \to 0} s G_c(s) G(s)$$
$$= \lim_{s \to 0} G_c(s) K_v$$
$$= \widehat{K_c} \beta K_v$$

So, static velocity error constant increased

7.5 Lag-Lead compensation

lead network;

speeds up the response increase the stability

lag network;

improves steady state

lead-lag network; lead network + lag network

Ex. 7-4

$$\begin{split} G(s) &= \frac{4}{s \left(s + 0.5\right)} \\ G_c(s) &= K_c \frac{(s + \frac{1}{T_1})(s + \frac{1}{T_2})}{(s + \frac{\beta}{T_1})(s + \frac{1}{\beta T_2})}, \qquad \beta > 1 \end{split}$$

desired location of dominants poles; $s=-\ 2.50\pm j4.33$ =>

$$\begin{split} G_c(s) &= (10)(\frac{s+2.38}{s+8.34})(\frac{s+0.1}{s+0.0285})\\ G_c(s)G(s) &= \frac{40(s+2.38)(s+0.1)}{(s+8.34)(s+0.0285)\,s\,\,(s+0.5)} \end{split}$$

Closed loop poles; dominants: $s = -2.4539 \pm j 4.3099$ others: s = -0.1003, s = -3.8604

zero s = -2.4; cause larger overshoot